

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,936	08/09/2002	Jutta Glock	PH/5-31140A	4690
26748 7	590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION , INC. PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT			QAZI, SAB	IHA NAIM
410 SWING ROAD		CIMENI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBORO, NC 27409			1616	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/070,936	GLOCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha N. Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE A	MONTH(C) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2003.				
,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	cepted or b) objected to				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 		en received in this ivational Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	to the continue copies no				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/070,936

Art Unit: 1616

This application is a 371 of PCT/EP00/08658 filed on 9/5/2000. Claims 1-7 are pending. No claim is allowed at this time. Acknowledgement is made of the response filed on 11/20/2003. Amendments are entered.

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and were persuasive therefore rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is the meaning of "formulation assistants" in claim 1?

- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,410,480 (Muhlebach et al.) and. WO 98/13361 (Tobler et al.). Both the references cited above teach combinations of pyrazilinnone and method of controlling weeds and grasses, which embraces Applicant's claimed invention.
- 4. WO reference teach an antidote compound of formula (I) and their combination with a herbicidally effective amount of a herbicide such as sulfonylureas (see formula II to VI), see last four lines on page 34; See especially formula V when A2 and B2 can be Hydrogen and alkoxyalkyl group. Same substituents are R4 and R5 are in claim 1 of the present invention. See last para on page 45, 2nd para on page 46, examples and claims. See also table B1 on page 57 and Table B2-B3 on 58 and Table B4 and B5 on page 59.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,936

Art Unit: 1616

5. US '480 teaches the herbicidal composition of the compounds as in present claim 1. See the entire document especially lines 20-67, col. 16; compound 1.01 in col. 76; examples and claims.

- 6. Presently claimed invention is drawn to the compositions of the compound of formula I and a safener and an herbicidal <u>synergistic</u> amount of the various class of compounds listed in claim 1 part (b).
- 7. Instant claims differ from the cited references in their generic scope. Presently claimed invention is broader than the prior art.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to prepare additional beneficial combination of compositions of formula 1 and herbicides because prior art teaches pyrazoline herbicides and their combination with other active herbicides as presently claimed.
- 9. WO reference teaches the post-emergence phytotoxic activity of the herbicide Clodinafop (Table B1) and the data of post emergent activities of the Clodinafop with an antidote are listed in Table B2. Similarly various data with sulfonylurea and other herbicides are listed. Compound 1.01 in col. 76 of US Patent is same as presently claimed. Prior art teaches the excellent activity of this compound. Prior art also teaches that these compounds can be used with other active compounds. (lines 20 and 21 in col. 16).

Since the compounds, composition and method of use of the compound of Formula I is taught, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the compositions useful as herbicides to control the grass and weeds because prior art teaches the same use for these combinations.

- 9. No synergistic results are seen in the disclosure. It has been established that single species is seldom, if ever, sufficient to support a generic claim. Objective evidence of nonobviousness must be commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims. In re Tiffin, 171 USPQ 294. A showing limited to a single species can hardly be considered probative of the invention's nonobviousness in view of the breadth of the claims.
- 10. A disclosure should contain representative examples, which provide reasonable assurance to one skilled in the art that the compounds fall within the scope of a claim will possess the

Application/Control Number: 10/070,936

Art Unit: 1616

alleged activity. See In re Riat et al. (CCPA 1964) 327 F2d 685, 140 USPQ 471; In re Barr et al. (CCPA 1971) 444 F 2d 349, 151 USPQ 724.

A proviso in claim 1 (last line in part a) of the claim has been noted. What prior is disclaimed?

It is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition that is to be used for the very same purpose; the idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. *In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069*.

There is nothing inventive in a composition of old ingredients of known properties with each ingredient functioning individually as expected. In re Sussman. 58 USPQ 262. In re Shokal, 242 F.2d 771, 113 USPQ 283, 285 (C.C.P.A. 1957), See also In re Grimme, 274 F. 2d 949, 124 USPQ 499, 501 (C.C.P.A 1960) (the naming of a member of a genus or subgenus is not a proper basis for claiming the whole group).

For the reasons cited above there has been ample motivation provided by the prior art to prepare the compositions as claimed.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha N. Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/070,936

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sabiha N. Qazi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1616

4/16/04